

Index

- academic conventions, xvi, 137; adaptation to, 47, 89–90; contradictory expectations, 7, 26–27; genres, 93–94; student acceptance of, 108–9, 140
- academic disciplines, xvi, 58; disciplinary conflicts, 108–9; portfolios used in, 131–32; relevance of requirements, 139–40; writing and literacy across, 5–8. *See also* subcommunities
- academic writing, 64; faculty views of, 26–27, 60; student perception of, as “real” writing, 77–78
- African American students, 19, 40, 70–71, 101
- Alberti, John, 30
- American Association of Higher Education (AAHE), 42–43
- analytical writing, 76–77
- Applebee, A., 91
- apprenticeships, 106–8
- art history papers, 58–59, 112
- Assessing Writing* (journal), xiii
- assessment, xiii, xvi, 88, 125–29; of portfolios, 127–28; self-assessment, 33, 45, 51, 90, 98; standardized tests, 9–10, 12, 92, 127. *See also* grades
- assessment movement, 42–43
- Assessment Office web site, 149
- assignments. *See* writing assignments
- audience, 75, 113–14; approaches to, 65, 66, 139–41
- audit of writing, 50–55, 130–32
- basic skills, xii, 73–74, 86, 113
- basic writers, 29
- Bean, J., 141
- “Berkeley Guidelines,” 62
- Bizzell, Patricia, 7
- book reviews, 21
- Britton, James, 6–7, 23
- Brodkey, Linda, 7
- Bronfenbrenner, Urie, xiv–xv, 22–23, 47, 85, 88, 115, 129
- Brooke, R., 65
- Brueggemann, Brenda, 36
- Bruner, Jerome, xv, 22, 24–25, 78, 90, 120
- business majors, 42
- Cannibal Galaxy, The* (Ozick), 144
- career-oriented programs, 31, 32, 69
- case studies, 107
- CD-ROM Portfolio Assessment Project, 34, 149–51. *See also* Digital Portfolio Assessment Project
- challenge, as necessary to development, 25, 55, 83–84, 134–37
- check-sheets, 98, 136
- Chiseri-Strater, E., 7
- citation style, 103
- citizenship, 32, 120, 133–34
- class issues, 38–39, 141–42
- cognitive development, 48
- Cole, Michael, xv, 22, 23, 24, 91, 125, 127, 128–29
- Collision Course* (Durst), 66–67
- commentary and corrections, 12, 15–17, 19, 79, 81, 116–17, 136–37; as context dependent, 95–101
- communication majors, 40, 89, 92–93
- community. *See* learning community
- composition specialists, xi, 61; as advocates, 144–45; missionary role, 28, 91. *See also* faculty

- composition theories, 2–3
- conferences, individual, 94, 104, 138
- conflict and resistance to change, 65–71, 123–24, 140; by faculty, 79–80; grading and, 86–87
- content knowledge, 14–15, 48, 53–54, 78–79, 87; acquisition of, over time, 89–90; student roles and, 115–16
- context, xvi, 3, 4, 7–8, 28; of comments and grades, 95–101; of first-year composition courses, 49–50
- Cooper, Charles, 121
- Cooper, Marilyn, 7
- critical literacy, 3, 9, 26, 130, 139. *See also* literacy tasks
- Crowley, Sharon, xii, 119
- Crowson, R., 43
- cultural capital, 80
- cultural/environmental view of development, xiv–xv, 22–25, 85, 125–26
- cultural psychology, 23
- culture, school, xv, 30–32, 125–26
- culture wars, 64
- current issues, 63, 64
- curriculum: experienced vs. official, 24, 27, 61; inconsistency of writing assignments across, xv, 49–51, 53–55, 87; official, 61–65; WAC programs, xii–xiii, 141
- decoding/analytical literacy, 3
- “Defining Basic Writing in Context” (Troyka), 30
- development, xi; challenge as necessary to, 25, 55, 83–84, 134–37; conflict and resistance, 65–71; cultural/environmental view, xiv–xv, 22–25, 85, 125–26; different (new) ways of writing, xii, 28, 48–49; in Great Books Colloquium, 80–85; metacognitive awareness, 78, 88, 120–21, 123–24, 126; performing new roles, 115–17; student profiles, 8–22; zone of proximal, 25, 49–50, 69–70, 74, 91, 123
- Development of Writing Abilities (11–18)*, *The* (Britton, Burgess, Martin, McLeod, and Rosen), 6–7
- digital portfolio, 17, 128
- Digital Portfolio Assessment Project (DPAP), xi, xiii–xv, 5–8, 29, 123; assessment program web site, 44; background of study, 32–34; interviews, 33, 45, 151–53; majors included in study, 39–42; methodology, 28, 42–46, 149; misrepresentation concerns, 35–36; self-assessments, 33, 45, 150–51; student selections for, 1–52, 56–59, 77, 135; survey sample, 34–39. *See also* portfolios
- discourse community, 7, 139
- diversity of student body, 31–32, 35–37, 57, 142–43
- drafts, 101, 112; one-draft essay, 75, 99, 110, 137–38; time constraints, 110–11, 137–38
- Durst, Russell, 66–67
- Ecology of Human Development, The* (Bronfenbrenner), 22–25
- economics courses, 18
- educational underclass, 39
- Ehrenreich, Barbara, 39
- electives, 119
- Engaging Ideas* (Bean), 141
- English courses, 10–12, 61–65
- English majors, 10–12, 128
- English 99, 62, 63
- English I, 62, 63, 116, 123
- English II, 62, 64, 116, 123
- errors, 99–101
- essays, 65, 82; in-class, 54, 112; one-draft, 75, 99, 110, 137–38; thesis-driven, 10–12, 81, 120
- ethical concerns, xv, 35–36, 109, 141
- Ethics and Representation in Qualitative Studies of Literacy* (Mortensen and Kirsch), 35–36
- exams, 20–21, 26, 53–54, 112–13. *See also* assessment

- faculty: conflict and resistance to change, 79–80; skill level, xii, 62–63, 81, 84–85. *See also* composition specialists; faculty expectations
- faculty expectations, xi–xii; challenging, 26–27; conflict and resistance to, 65–71; distorted views of student literacy, xvi, 5, 102; in general education classes, 85–88; inability to observe progress over time, 9, 49; student perceptions of, xv, 9, 23–24, 47, 50; view of writing as unitary ability, 6, 23–24, 27; views of “academic writing,” 26–27, 60
- family histories, 18–19, 57, 112–13
- Fear of Falling: The Inner Life of the Middle Class* (Ehrenreich), 39
- first-year composition courses, xii–xiii, 27–28; audit of writing, 50–55, 130–32; context, 49–50; limitations, 72–80; multiple-text assignments, 121–22; role of, xiv, 119–24; special interest sections, 79, 123; student views of, 5–6; transfer to other disciplines, 9, 72–75, 77, 130–31; as transition from high school, xv, 28
- Flach, J., 3, 26
- focus groups, 33
- freshman seminar, I, 18–19, 124
- funding, 81, 84–85, 134
- “Future of WAC, The” (Walvoord), xiii
- future writing tasks, 72–74
- Gambill, Henry, 44–46
- Geisler, C., 8
- gender differences, 36–37
- general education courses, xv–xvi, 31; audit of writing, 50–55, 130–32; effective writing assignments, 55–60; faculty expectations, 85–88
- generalizations, 36–37
- genres, 2, 53, 137; case studies, 107; conventions, 93–94; critical/analytical essays, 10–12, 56; family histories, 18–19, 57; Great Books papers, 80–85; lab reports, 16–17, 107; legal briefs, 19–20, 78, 97; research papers, 14, 56, 107; rhetorical analysis, 14, 122
- grade point averages, 41, 106, 126; high school, 2, 10, 34–35
- grades, xiii, 63, 71, 109, 116, 126–27; as context dependent, 95–98; influence on writing process, 138–39; student view of, as coercion, 86–87. *See also* assessment
- grammar skills, 54, 61, 62, 92
- Great Books Colloquium, xvi, 10, 49, 61, 132–34; limitations, 87–88; transfer to other disciplines, 81, 84; writing development in, 80–85
- Greek Organizations, 104–5
- guidelines, 62–63, 94, 136
- “Guidelines: English 101. English Composition I” (Pepperdine University), 63
- Handbook of Qualitative Research* (Denzin and Lincoln), 43
- “hands-on” learning, xv, 49, 56, 58–60, 86, 122–23, 137–39; field trips and speakers, 59–60; supporting writing, 104–8
- Hendricks, J., 65
- history courses, 93, 103, 106
- humanities majors, 20–21, 39–40
- in-class critiques, 103
- in-class essays, 112
- in-class exams, 113
- individual conferences, 94, 104, 138
- instruction, recommendations for, 129–41
- international program, 40–41, 54, 58–59, 106
- international students, 10, 32, 61
- internships, 105–6, 109, 116, 117
- James Irvine Foundation, 37
- Kirsch, G., 35–36

- lab reports, 16–17, 107
- learning community, 24–25, 85. *See also* subcommunities
- legal briefs, 19–20, 78, 97
- literacy skills, 118; acquisition over time, xi–xii, 9, 47–48, 49, 89–90; across academic disciplines, 5–8; basic skills, xii, 73–74, 86, 113, 119–20; and strategy, 24, 139. *See also* critical literacy; literacy tasks
- literacy tasks: alternatives, 132–34; increasing difficulty, 6–7; recommendations for instruction, 129–30; skills required, 4, 17, 89–90, 119–20; writing ability and, 2–5; writing assignments as, xiv, 3–4
- literature reviews, 94
- Lives on the Boundary* (Rose), 38–39
- longitudinal considerations, 141–45
- MacDonald, Susan, 121
- mainstreaming, 63
- major disciplines. *See* academic disciplines
- McCarthy, L., 7
- metacognitive awareness, 78, 88, 120–21, 123–24, 126
- Miller, Susan, 7
- mind, as co-constructed, 24, 91, 129
- missionary role, 28, 91
- models of writing, 136–37, 140
- Mortensen, P., 35–36
- Myers, Miles, 26, 139
- Nelson, Laurie, 111
- “normal” ways of writing, xv, 47, 86, 109, 119; conflict and resistance to altering, 65–71; one-draft essay, 75, 99, 110, 137–38
- one-draft essay, 75, 99, 110, 137–38
- Ozick, Cynthia, 144
- peer review, 74, 94, 102–4
- Pepperdine, George, 30
- Pepperdine University: cultural back-grounds of students, 10, 29, 32, 61; General Education Committee, 32–33; recruiting for diversity, 31–32; school culture, 30–32
- personal experiences, 56–60, 70–71, 75–76
- plagiarism, 142
- political science courses, 18–22, 96–98, 116
- portfolios, xiii, 8; assessment, 127–28; compared across sections, 131–32; digital, 17, 128. *See also* Digital Portfolio Assessment Project
- postmodernist views of knowledge, 2–3
- PowerPoint presentations, 107
- practice, 9, 81, 115
- press releases, 14, 105
- processes, 64, 74–75, 85
- proficiency, assessment of, 125–29
- profiles of writing development, 8–22
- promotional packets, 13–14
- psychology majors, 94, 109
- Psychology of Literacy, The* (Cole and Scribner), 23
- public relations campaign, 93
- public relations courses, 13–15, 89, 92–93, 101, 105, 116
- public relations majors, 92–93, 116
- “Qualitative Research Methods in Higher Education” (Crowson), 43
- qualitative studies: ethical concerns, xv, 35–36; methodology, 42–46
- racial differences, 37
- reading, 116, 119
- religion courses, 133
- remedial courses, 92
- requirements, relevance of, 139–40
- “Researcher as Missionary: Problems with Rhetorical Reform in the Disciplines, The” (Segal, Pare, Brent, and Vipond), 28
- research-methods courses, 93–96, 116
- research papers, 14, 56, 107
- “Returning to Class: Creating Oppor-

- tunities for Multicultural Reform at Majority Second-Tier Schools" (Alberti), 30
- revision/rewriting, 73, 114, 117
- rhetorical analysis, 14, 122
- rhetorical outlines, 75
- roles, xiv–xv, 47, 143; supporting, 115–17; transitions and, 23–24; writing differently, xii, 28, 48–49
- Rose, Mike, 38–39, 71
- rubrics, 4
- SAT scores, 10, 34–35
- scaffolding, xvi, 24, 116, 129, 137; by peers, 102–3; in subcommunities, 90–92
- Scardamalia, M., xii, 48, 74
- school culture, xv, 30–32, 125–26
- science courses, 15–17
- science majors, 40–41
- science writing, 99–100, 101
- Scribner, Sylvia, 23
- Seaver College, 31. *See also* Pepperdine University
- self-assessment, 33, 45, 51, 90, 98
- senior thesis, 93, 115
- service learning, 64, 122
- social practices, 7–8
- social science majors, 41
- sources, 18, 21, 52, 93, 103, 107, 112, 119, 137
- speech courses, 72, 79, 98
- sports medicine majors, 106–8
- standardized assessment tests, 9–10, 12, 92, 127
- standardized portfolios, 127–28
- Sternglass, Marilyn, xiii, 8, 29, 121
- Stuckey, Elspeth, 38
- student organizations, 104–5
- student writers: ability, 2–5; differences in preparedness, xii, 27, 38, 121, 143; diversity of backgrounds, 31–32, 35–37, 57, 142–43; employment, 41, 60, 106, 111; enjoyment of tasks, 76, 77; faculty expectations and, xv, 9, 23–24, 47, 50; self-assessment, 33, 45, 51, 90, 98; self-perception, 113–14, 126; acquisition of skills over time, xi–xii, 9, 47–48, 49, 89–90; view of first-year composition courses, 5–6; viewpoints, 52–53, 66–69, 72. *See also* “normal” ways of writing; roles
- study groups, 104, 138
- style: corrections, 98–102
- style guidelines, 94
- subcommunities, 90–92, 116, 120. *See also* academic disciplines; learning community
- supporting writing, 27, 89–90, 118–19; constraints on writing, 108–13; correcting style and errors, 98–102; direct teaching, 92–95; “hands-on” learning, 104–8; peer review, 102–4; performing new roles, 115–17; student writing strategies, 113–15; zone of proximal development, 25, 49–50, 69–70, 74, 91, 123. *See also* commentary and corrections; grades; scaffolding
- surface errors, 99–101
- telecommunications courses, 103, 105
- thesis-driven essays, 10–12, 81, 120
- Thompson, Don, 33, 34, 44–45
- time constraints, 6, 24, 101, 109–13, 117, 137–38; in-class work, 112–13
- timed writing-proficiency tests, 127
- timelines, for assignments, 75
- time management, 110–12
- Time to Know Them* (Sternglass), xiii, 8
- transfer of skills, 9, 72–75, 77, 86, 130–31; Great Books Colloquium, 81, 84
- transitions, 23–24, 49–50; valued by students, xv, 56, 90
- translation/critical literacy, 3, 26, 139
- Troyka, Lynn, 30
- “underprepared” student writers, xii, 38, 143

- University of California (UC):
 "Berkeley Guidelines," 62
 upper-division courses, 9, 92
 upper-level writing requirements, xvi,
 118, 124–25
- viewpoints, 52–53, 72; resistance to
 changing, 66–69
- Villanueva, Victor, 71
- voice, 108; resistance to changing, 66–
 69, 85, 123–24
- Vygotsky, Lev, xv, 22, 25, 50, 91
- WAC. *See* writing-across-the-
 curriculum programs
- Walvoord, Barbara, xiii, 7
- web sites, 44, 140, 149
- Witte, S. P., 3, 26
- writing: academic, 26–27, 60, 64, 76–
 78; analytical, 76–77; future tasks,
 72–74; models of, 136–37, 140; new
 ways of, xii, 28, 48–49; as unitary
 ability, 6, 23–24, 27. *See also* writing
 assignments
 writing ability, concept of, 6, 17
 writing-across-the-curriculum (WAC)
 programs, xii–xiii, 141
 writing assignments: effective, for gen-
 eral education courses, 55–60; in-
 consistency across curriculum, xv,
 49–51, 53–55, 87; as literacy tasks,
 xiv, 3–4; personal experiences, 56–
 60, 70–71, 75–76; time constraints,
 6, 101, 109–13, 137–38; types of
 writing assigned, 53–54. *See also*
 writing
 writing center, 1–2, 63, 94–95
 writing-intensive courses, 124, 132
 Writing the World (Cooper and
 MacDonald), 121
 writing workshops, 112, 138
- zone of proximal development, 25, 49–
 50, 69–70, 74, 91, 123