

REFERENCES

- Alexander, P. A. (2003). The development of expertise: The journey from acclimation to proficiency. *Educational Researcher*, 32(8), 10–14.
- Alexander, P. A. (2005). The path to competence: A lifespan developmental perspective on reading. *Journal of Literacy Research*, 37(4), 413–436.
- Alexander, P. A. (2011a) Can we get from there to here? *Educational Researcher*, 32(8), 3–4.
- Alexander, P. A. (2011b). The development of expertise: The journey from acclimation to proficiency. *Educational Researcher*, 32(8), 10–14.
- Alger, C. L. (2009). Secondary teachers' conceptual metaphors of teaching and learning: Changes over the career span. *Teaching and Teacher Education*, 25(5), 743–751.
- Artemeva, N. (1998). The writing consultant as cultural interpreter: Bridging cultural perspectives on the genre of the periodic engineering report. *Technical Communication Quarterly*, 7(3), 285–299.
- Atkinson, P. (1997). Narrative turn or blind alley? *Qualitative Health Research*, 7, 325–43.
- Austin, A. E. (2009). Cognitive apprenticeship theory and its implications for doctoral education: A case study example from a doctoral program in higher and adult education. *International Journal for Academic Development*, 14(3), 173–183.
- Austin, A. E. & McDaniels, M. (2006). Preparing the professoriate of the future: Graduate student socialisation for faculty roles. In J. C. Smart (Ed.), *Higher Education: Handbook of theory and research* (Vol. 21, pp. 397–456). Netherlands: Springer.
- Baerger, D. & McAdams, D. (1999). Life story coherence and its relation to psychological well-being. *Narrative Inquiry*, 9, 69–96.
- Bartholomae, D. (1985). Inventing the university. In M. Rose (Ed.), *When a writer can't write: Studies in writer's block and other composing process problems*. New York, NY: Guildford.
- Bayer, T., Curto, K. & Kriley, C. (2005). Acquiring expertise in discipline-specific discourse: An interdisciplinary exercise in learning to speak biology. *Across the Disciplines*, 2, 1–25. Retrieved from http://wac.colostate.edu/atd/articles/bayer_curto_kriley2005.cfm.
- Bayer, T. & Curto, K. A. (2012). Learning to tell what you know: A communication intervention for biology students. In E. Lee Yook & W. Atkins-Sayre (Eds.), *Communication centers and oral communication programs in higher education: Advantages, challenges, and new directions* (pp. 113–130). Plymouth, UK: Lexington Books.
- Bazerman, C. (1985). Physicists reading physics schema-laden purposes and purpose-laden schema. *Written Communication*, 2(1), 3–23.
- Bazerman, C. (1988). *Shaping written knowledge*. Madison, WI: University of Wisconsin Press.
- Bazerman, C. (1998). The production of technology and the production of human meaning. *Journal of Business and Technical Communication*, 12, 381–187.

References

- Bazerman, C. (1992). From cultural criticism to disciplinary participation: Living with powerful words. In A. Herrington & C. Moran (Eds.), *Writing, teaching and learning in the disciplines* (pp. 61–68). New York, NY: Modern Language Association of America.
- Bazerman, C. & Russell, D. R. (Eds.). (1994). *Landmark essays on writing across the curriculum*. Davis, CA: Hermagoras Press.
- Beaufort, A. (2004). Developmental gains of a history major: A case for building a theory of disciplinary writing expertise. *Research in the Teaching of English*, 39(2), 136–185.
- Beaufort, A. (2007). *College writing and beyond: A new framework for university writing instructions*. Boulder: University Press of Colorado.
- Benner, P. (1984). *From novice to expert: Excellence and power in clinical nursing practice*. Reading, MA: Addison-Wesley.
- Benner, P. (2004). Using the Dreyfus model of skill acquisition to describe and interpret skill acquisition and clinical judgement in nursing practice and education. *Bulletin of Science, Technology and Society*, 24(3), 189–199.
- Bent, M., Gannon-Leary, P. & Webb, J. (2007). Information literacy in a researcher's learning life: the seven ages of research. *New Review of Information Networking*, 13(2), 81–99.
- Bereiter, C. & Scardamalia, M. (1987). *The psychology of written composition*. Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum.
- Bereiter, C. & Scardamalia, M. (1993). *Surpassing ourselves*. Peru, IL: Open Court.
- Bishop, W. & Ostrom, H. (1997) *Genre and writing: Issues, arguments and alternatives*. Portsmouth, NH: Boynton/Cook.
- Bleakley, A. (2005). Stories as data, data as stories: Making sense of narrative inquiry in clinical education. *Medical Education*, 39, 534–40.
- Bentley, J. T. & Adamson, R. (2003). Gender differences in the careers of academic scientists and engineers: A literature review. Arlington, VA: National Science Foundation.
- Blakeslee, A. (1997). Activity, context, interaction, and authority: Learning to write scientific papers in situ. *Journal of Business and Technical Communication*, 11(2), 125–169.
- Bloom, B. S. (1985). Generalizations about talent development. In B. S. Bloom (Ed.), *Developing talent in young people* (pp. 507–549). New York: Ballentine Books
- Blum, D., Knudson, M. & Henig, R. M. (2006). *A field guide for scientific writers* (2nd ed.). New York, NY: OUP.
- Boice, R. (1994). *How writers journey to comfort and fluency: A psychological adventure*. Westport, CT: Praeger, Greenwood Publishing Group.
- Brady, K. & Winn, T. (2014). Using metaphors to investigate pre-service primary teachers' attitudes towards mathematics. *Double Helix*, 2. Retrieved from <http://qudoublehelixjournal.org/index.php/dh/article/view/37/151>.
- Brammer, C., Amare, N. & Campbell, K. S. (2008). Culture shock: Teaching writing within interdisciplinary contact zones. *Across the Disciplines*, 5(5). Retrieved from <http://wac.colostate.edu/atd/articles/brammeretal2008.cfm>.

- Bransford, J. (2004). *Thoughts on adaptive expertise*. Retrieved from <http://204.200.153.100/ebeling/AdaptiveExpertise.pdf>.
- Bressler, D. (2014). Better than business-as-usual: Improving scientific practices during discourse and writing by playing a collaborative mystery game. *Double Helix*, 2. Retrieved from <http://qudoublehelixjournal.org/index.php/dh/article/view/36/155>.
- Brieger, K. & Bromley, P. (2014). A model for facilitating peer review in the STEM disciplines: A case study of peer review workshops supporting student writing in introductory biology courses. *Double Helix*, 2. Retrieved from <http://qudoublehelixjournal.org/index.php/dh/article/view/26/152>.
- Brown, B. A., Reveles, J. M. & Kelly, G. J. (2005). Scientific literacy and discursive identity: A theoretical framework for understanding science learning. *Science Education*, 89, 779–802.
- Brownell, S. E., Price, J. V. & Steinman, L. (2013). Science Communication to the General Public: Why We Need to Teach Undergraduate and Graduate Students this Skill as Part of Their Formal Scientific Training. *Journal of Undergraduate Neuroscience Education*, 12(1), E6–E10.
- Burton, L. & Morgan, C. (2000). Mathematicians writing. *Journal for Research in Mathematics Education*, 31(4), 429–453.
- Carter, M. (1990). The idea of expertise: An exploration of cognitive and social dimensions of writing. *College Composition and Communication*, 41, 265–286.
- Carter, M. (2007). Ways of knowing, doing, and writing in the disciplines. *College Composition and Communication*, 58(3), 385–418.
- Chamberlin, S. A. (2010). A review of instruments created to assess affect in mathematics. *Journal of Mathematics Education*, 3(1), 167–182.
- Chinn, P. W. U. & Hilgers, T. L. (2000). From corrector to collaborator: The range of instructor roles in writing-based natural and applied science classes. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 37, 3–25.
- Choi, A., Notebaert, A., Diaz, J. & Hand, B. (2010). Examining Arguments Generated by Year 5, 7, and 10 Students in Science Classrooms. *Research in Science Education*, 40(2), 149–169.
- Collins, A., Brown, J. S. & Newman, S. E. (1989). Cognitive apprenticeship: Teaching the crafts of reading, writing, and mathematics. *Knowing, learning, and instruction: Essays in honor of Robert Glaser*, 18, 32–42.
- Corkery, C. (2005). Literacy narratives and confidence building in the writing classroom. *Journal of Basic Writing*, 24(1) 48–67.
- Couture, B. (1999). Modelling and emulating: Rethinking agency in the writing process. In T. Kent (Ed.), *Post-process theory: Beyond the writing-process paradigm* (pp. 30–48). Southern Illinois UP: Carbondale.
- Cummings, J. (2009). The doctoral experience in science: Challenging the current orthodoxy. *British Educational Research Journal*, 35(6), 977–890.
- Cuthbert, D. & Spark, C. (2008). Getting a GRiP: Examining the outcomes of a pilot program to support graduate research students in writing for publication. *Studies in Higher Education*, 33(1), 77–88.

- Dall’Alba, G. & Sandberg, J. (2006). Unveiling professional development: A critical review of the stages models. *Review of Educational Research*, 76, 383–412.
- Daley, B. J. (1999). Novice to expert: An exploration of how professionals learn. *Adult Education Quarterly*, 49, 133–148.
- Day, R. A. & Gastel, B. (2006). *How to write and publish a scientific paper*. Westport, CT: Greenwood.
- Deane, M. & O’Neill, P. (2011). *Writing in the Disciplines*. New York, NY: Palgrave Macmillan.
- Ding, H. (2008). The use of cognitive and social apprenticeship to teach a disciplinary genre initiation of graduate students into NIH grant writing. *Written Communication*, 25(1), 3–52.
- Donald, A. (2013). *Mentoring: Getting personal?* Retrieved from <http://occamstypewriter.org/athenedonald/2013/07/30/mentoring-getting-personal/>.
- Doody, S. (2015). “Everything is in the lab book”: The role of the lab book genre in writing, knowledge-making, and identity construction in academic medical physics labs. Unpublished master’s thesis, Carleton University, Ottawa, Ontario.
- Dorner, D. & Scholkopf, J. (1991). Controlling complex systems, or expertise as “grandmother’s know-how.” In Ericsson, K. A. & Smith, J. (Eds.), *Towards a general theory of expertise: Prospects and limits* (pp. 218–239). Cambridge, UK: CUP.
- Dreyfus, H. L. (2004). The five-stage model of adult skill acquisition. *Bulletin of Science, Technology and Society*, 24(3), 177–181.
- Dreyfus, Hubert L. & Dreyfus, Stuart E. (2005). Peripheral vision: Expertise in real world contexts. *Organisation Studies*, 26(5), 779–792.
- Driscoll, D. L. (2011). Connected, disconnected, or uncertain: Student attitudes about future writing contexts and perceptions of transfer from first year writing to the disciplines. *Across the Disciplines*, 8(2). Retrieved from <http://wac.colostate.edu/atd/articles/driscoll2011/>.
- Driver, R., Leach, J., Millar, R. & Scott, P. (1996). *Young people’s images of science*. Philadelphia, PA: Open University Press.
- Dunbar, K. (1996). How scientists think: Online creativity and conceptual change in science. In T. B. Ward, S. M. Smith & S. Vaid (Eds.), *Conceptual structures and processes: Emergence, discovery and Change* (pp. 461–493). Washington DC: APA Press.
- Dweck, C. (2006). Is math a gift? Beliefs that put females at risk. In S. J. Ceci. & W. M. Williams (Eds.), *Why aren’t more women in science? Top researchers debate the evidence* (pp. 47–55). Washington DC: American Psychological Association.
- Ellis, C. (2004). *The ethnographic I*. Lanham, MD: AltaMira Press.
- Emerson, L. (2012) An investigation of the senior academic scientist as writer in Australasian universities. In C. Bazerman et al. (Eds.), *International Advances in Writing Research: Cultures, Places, Measures* (pp. 355–372). Fort Collins, CO: The WAC Clearinghouse and Parlor Press. Retrieved from <http://wac.colostate.edu/books/wrab2011/>.
- Emerson, L. (Spring 2004). The WAC Matrix: Institutional requirements for nurturing a team-based WAC program. *WPA: Writing Program Administration*, 27(3), 53–68.

- Emerson, L., MacKay, B. R., MacKay, M. B. & Funnell, K. A. (2002). Writing in a New Zealand tertiary context: WAC and action research. In S. McLeod (Ed.), *Language and Languages special Edition: WAC in an international context*, 5, 3, 110–133.
- Ericsson, K. A. (2004). Deliberate Practice and the Acquisition and Maintenance of Expert Performance in Medicine and Related Domains. *Academic Medicine*, 79(10), 570–581.
- Ericsson, K. A., Krampe, R. T. & Tesch-Romer, C. (1993). The role of deliberate practice in the acquisition of expert performance. *Psychological Review*, 100, 363–406.
- Ericsson, K. A., Prietula, M. & Cokely, E. (2007). The making of an expert. *Harvard Business Review*. Retrieved from <http://hbr.org/2007/07/the-making-of-an-expert/ar/1>.
- Florence, M. K. & Yore, L. D. (2004). Learning to write like a scientist: A study of the enculturation of novice scientists into expert discourse communities by co-authoring research reports. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 41, 637–668.
- Fox, M. F. & Faver, C. A. (1985). Men, women, and publication productivity: Patterns among social work academics. *The Sociological Quarterly*, 26, 537–549.
- Frank, A. (1995). *The wounded storyteller: body, illness, and ethics*. Chicago: Chicago University Press.
- Frank, A. (2000). The standpoint of storyteller. *Qualitative Health Research*, 10, 354–65.
- Gardner, S. K. (2009). *The development of doctoral students: Phases of challenge and support*. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.
- Gardner, S. K. (2007). “I heard it through the grapevine”: Doctoral student socialization in chemistry and history. *Higher Education*, 54, 823–740.
- Gardner, S. K. (2010). Contrasting the socialization experiences of doctoral students in high-and low-completing departments: A qualitative analysis of disciplinary contexts at one institution. *The Journal of Higher Education*, 81(1), 61–81.
- Gee, J. P. (2005). The new literacy studies: From “socially situated” to the work. *Situated Literacies: Reading and Writing in Context*, 2, 177–194.
- Geisler, C. (1994). *Academic Literacy and the Nature of Expertise: Reading, Writing, and Knowing in Academic Philosophy*. New York, NY: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Golde, C. M. (2005). The role of the department and discipline in doctoral student attrition: Lessons from four departments. *The Journal of Higher Education*, 76, 669–700.
- Golde, C. M. (2010). Entering different worlds: Socialisation into disciplinary communities. In S. K. Gardner & P. Mendoza (Eds.), *On becoming a scholar: Socialization and development in doctoral education* (pp. 79–95). Sterling, VA: Stylus.
- Gordin, M. D. (2015). *Scientific Babel: How science was done before and after global English*. Chicago, IL: University of Chicago Press.
- Grant, B. M. (2006). Writing in the company of other women: Exceeding the boundaries. *Studies in Higher Education*, 31(4), 483–495.
- Grant, B. & Knowles, S. (2000). Flights of imagination: Academic women be(com)ing writers. *International Journal for Academic Development*, 5(1), 6–19.
- Graves, H. (2005). *Rhetoric in(to) science: Style as invention in inquiry*. Cresskill, NJ: Hampton Press.
- Greenwood, M. R. C. & Riordan, D. G. (2001). Civic scientist/Civic Duty. *Science Communication*, 23, 28–40.

- Hand, B. M., Prain, V. & Yore, L. D. (2001). Sequential writing tasks' influence on science learning. In P. Tynjala, L. Mason & K. Lonka (Eds.), *Writing as a learning tool: Integrating theory and practice* (pp. 105–129). Dordrecht, the Netherlands: Kluwer.
- Harding, P. & Hare, W. (2000). Portraying science accurately in classrooms: Emphasizing open-mindedness rather than relativism. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 37(3), 225–292.
- Hartley, J. & Branthwaite, A. (1989). The psychologist as wordsmith: A questionnaire study of the writing strategies of productive British psychologists. *Higher Education*, 18, 423–452.
- Hartley, J. & Knapper, C. K. (1984). Academics and their writing, *Studies in Higher Education*, 9, 151–167.
- Hatano, G. & Inagaki, K. (1986). Two courses of expertise. In H. Stevenshon, J. Azuma & Hakuta (Eds.), *Child development and education in Japan* (pp. 262–272). New York, NY: W.H. Freeman & Co.
- Harvey, M., Mishler, E., Kroenen, K. & Harney, P. (2000). In the aftermath of sexual abuse: Making and remaking meaning in narratives of trauma and recovery. *Narrative Inquiry*, 10, 291–311.
- Hidi, S. (1990). Interest and its contribution as a mental resource for learning. *Review of Educational Research*, 60, 549–571.
- Hill, C., Corbett, C. & Rose, A. (2010). *Why so few? Women in science, technology, engineering and mathematics*. Sponsored by the American Association of University Women. Retrieved from <http://www.aauw.org/research/why-so-few/>.
- Hodson, D. (1998). Is this really what scientists do? Seeking a more authentic science and beyond the school laboratory. In J. Wellington (Ed.), *Practical work in school science: Which way now?* (pp. 93–108). London: Routledge.
- Holbrook, J. & Rannikmae, M. (2007). The nature of science education for enhancing scientific literacy. *International Journal of Science Education*, 29(11), 1347–1362.
- Holstein, J. & Gubrium, J. (2000). *The self we live by: Narrative identity in a postmodern world*. Oxford, UK: OUP.
- Holyoak, K. J. (1991). Symbolic connectionism: Toward third-generation theories of expertise. In Ericsson, K. A. & Smith, J. (Eds.), *Towards a general theory of expertise: Prospects and limits* (pp. 301–335). Cambridge, UK: CUP.
- Jacoby, S. & Gonzales, P. (1991). The constitution of the expert-novice in scientific discourse. *Issues in Applied Linguistics*, 2, 149–181.
- Jones, J. E. & Preusz, G. C. (1993). Attitudinal factors associated with individual factor research productivity. *Perceptual and Motor Skills*, 76, 1191–1198.
- Kagan, D. (1990). Ways of evaluating teacher cognition: Inferences concerning the goldilocks principle. *Review of Educational Research*, 60, 419–16.
- Kamler, B. (2008). Rethinking doctoral publication processes: Writing from and beyond the thesis. *Studies in Higher Education*, 33(3), 284–294.
- Kelly, G. J. (2007). Discourse in science classrooms. In N. G. Lederman & S. K. Abell (Eds.). *Handbook of research on science education* (pp. 443–469). New York, NY: Routledge.

- Keys, C. W. (1999). Revitalizing instruction in scientific genres: Connecting knowledge production in the writing to learn in science. *Science Education*, 83, 115–130.
- Knisely, K. (2005). *A student handbook for writing in biology* (2nd ed.). Sunderland, MA: Sinauer Associates.
- Krauss, L. (2009). An update on C. P. Snow's "two cultures." *Scientific American*. Retrieved from <http://www.scientificamerican.com/article/an-update-on-cp-snows-two-cultures/>.
- Lajolie, Susanne P. (2003). Transitions and trajectories for studies of expertise. *Educational Researcher*, 32(8), 21–25.
- Leavy, A. M., McSorley, F. A. & Boté, L. A. (2007). An examination of what metaphor construction reveals about the evolution of preservice teachers' beliefs about teaching and learning. *Teaching and Teacher Education*, 23(7), 1217–1233.
- Lee, A. & Aitchison, C. (2009). Writing for the doctorate and beyond. In D. Bould & A. Lee (Eds.), *Changing practices of doctoral education* (pp. 87–99). New York, NY: Routledge.
- Leshner, A. I. (2003). Public engagement with science. *Science*, 299, 977.
- Lerner, N. (2007). Laboratory lessons for writing and science. *Written Communication*, 24(3), 191–222.
- Leydens, J. A. (2008). Novice and insider perspectives on academic and workplace writing: Toward a continuum of rhetorical awareness. *IEEE Transactions on Professional Communication*, 51(3), 242–263.
- Loudon, M. (1992). *Unveiled: Nuns talking*. London, UK: Random House.
- Maher, D., Seaton, L., McCullen, C., Fitzgerald, T., Otsuji, E. & Lee, A. (2008). "Becoming and being writers": The experiences of doctoral students in writing groups. *Studies in Continuing Education*, 30(3), 263–275.
- Maher, M. A., Timmerman, B. C., Feldon, D. F. & Strickland, D. (2013). Factors affecting the occurrence of faculty-doctoral student co-authorship. *The Journal of Higher Education*, 84(1), 121–143
- Maher, M. A., Feldon, D. F., Timmerman, B. E. & Chao, J. (2014). Faculty perceptions of common challenges encountered by novice doctoral writers. *Higher Education Research and Development*, 33(4), 699–711.
- Martin, Laura Jane (August, 2012). Scientists as writers. *Scientific American*. Retrieved from <http://blogs.scientificamerican.com/guest-blog/2012/08/15/scientists-as-writers/>.
- Martinez, M. A., Sauleda, N. & Huber, G. L. (2001). Metaphors as blueprints of thinking about teaching and learning. *Teaching and Teacher Education* 17, 965–977.
- Mayrath, M. & Robinson, D. H. (2005). Publishing, Reviewing, and Editing in Educational Psychology Journals: Comments from Editors in 1996 and 2004. *American Psychological Association, Division*, 15.
- McLeod, S. H., and Soven, M. (Eds.). (2000). *Writing Across the Curriculum: A Guide to Developing Programs*. Fort Collins, CO: WAC Clearinghouse. Retrieved from http://wac.colostate.edu/books/mcleod_soven/.

References

- Melzer, D. (2014). The connected curriculum: Designing a vertical transfer writing curriculum. *The WAC Journal*, 25, 78. Retrieved from <http://wac.colostate.edu/journal/vol25/melzer.pdf>.
- Mishler, E. G. (1995). Research interviewing: A typology. *Journal of Narrative Life History*, 5, 87–123.
- Montgomery, S. L. (2013). *Does science need a global language?: English and the future of research*. Chicago, IL: University of Chicago Press.
- Montgomery, S. L. (1996). *The scientific voice*. New York, NY: Guilford Press.
- Morss, K. & Murray, R. (2001). Researching academic writing within a structured programme: Insights and outcomes. *Studies in Higher Education*, 26(1), 35–52.
- Mullin, J. A. (2008). Interdisciplinary work as professional development changing the culture of teaching. *Pedagogy*, 8(3), 495–508.
- Mutnick, D. (1998). Rethinking the personal narrative: Life-writing and composition pedagogy. In C. Farris & Anson, C. M. (Eds.), *Under construction: Working at the intersections of composition theory, research, and practice* (pp. 79–92). Logan: Utah State UP.
- Norris, S. P. & Phillips, L. M. (2003). How literacy is its fundamental sense is critical to scientific literacy. *Science Education*, 87, 222–240.
- O’Gorman, E., Ingle, J. & Mitchell, S. (2014). Promoting Student Participation in Scientific Research: An Undergraduate Course in Global Change Biology. *Double Helix*, 2. Retrieved from <http://qudoublehelixjournal.org/index.php/dh/issue/view/2/showToc>.
- Olson, R. (2009). *Don't be such a scientist*. Washington, DC: Island Press.
- O’Shaughnessy, R., Dallos, R. & Gough, A. (2012). A narrative exploration of the lives of women who experience anorexia nervosa. *Qualitative Research in Psychology*, 10(1), 42–62.
- Paré, A. (2011). Speaking of writing: Supervisory feedback and the dissertation. In L. McAlpine & C. Amundsen (Eds.). *Supporting the doctoral process: research-based strategies* (pp. 59–74). New York, NY: Springer.
- Paretti, M. C. & McNair, L. D. (2008). Introduction to the special issue on communication in engineering curricula: Mapping the landscape. *IEEE Transactions on Professional Communication*, 51(3), 238–241.
- Penrose, A. M. & Katz, S. B. (2010). *Writing in the sciences: Exploring conventions of scientific discourse* (3rd edition). Boston, MA: Allyn and Bacon.
- Pittam, G., Elander, J., Lusher, J., Fox, P. & Payne, N. (2009). Student beliefs and attitudes about authorial identity in academic writing. *Studies in Higher Education*, 34(2), 153–170.
- Poe, M., Lerner, N. & Craig, J. (2010). *Learning to communicate in science and engineering*. Boston, MA: MIT Press.
- Prain, V. & Hand, B. (1999). Students’ perceptions of writing for learning in in secondary school science. *Science Education*, 83, 151–162.
- Prain, V. (2006). Learning from writing in secondary science: Some theoretical and practical implications. *International Journal of Science Education*, 28(2–3), 179–201.

- Pratt, M. L. (1991). Arts of the contact zone. *Profession*, 91, 33–40.
- Raymond, J. (2013). Sexist attitudes: Most of us are biased. *Nature*, 495, 33–34
- Reed, I., Pearlman, S. J., Millard, C. & Carillo, D. (2014). Peer assessment of writing and critical thinking in STEM: Insights into student and faculty perceptions and practices. *Double Helix*, 2, Retrieved from <http://qudoublehelixjournal.org/index.php/dh/issue/view/2/showToc>.
- Reynolds, J., Smith, R., Moskovitz, C. & Sayle, A. (2009). BioTAP: A systematic approach to teaching scientific writing and evaluating undergraduate theses. *Bioscience*, 59(10), 896–903.
- Reynolds, J. A., Thaiss, C., Katkin, W. & Thompson, R. J. (2012). Writing-to-learn in undergraduate science education: A community-based, conceptually driven approach. *CBE-Life Sciences Education*, 11(1), 17–25.
- Rich, J. A. & Grey, C. M. (2003). Qualitative research on trauma surgery: Getting beyond the numbers. *World Journal of Surgery*, 27, 957–961.
- Riel, M. (2000). Education in the 21st Century: Just-in-time learning or learning communities. *Presentation at the Fourth Annual Conference for the Emirates Center for Strategic Studies and Research*, Abu Dhabi. Retrieved from https://www.researchgate.net/publication/258698171_Education_in_the_21st_Century_Just-in-Time_Learning_or_Learning_Communities.
- Rivard, L. O. P. (1994). A review of writing to learn in science: Implications for practice and research. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 31(9), 969–983.
- Rodgers, R. & Rodgers, N. (1999). The sacred spark of academic research. *Journal of Public Administration Research & Theory*, 9(3), 473–492.
- Rodriguez, I., Goertzen, R. M., Brewes, E. & Kramer, L. (2012). Communicating scientific ideas: One element of physics expertise. *2011 Physics Education Research Conference*, 1413(1), 319–322.
- Roth, W. M. & Lee, S. (2002). Scientific literacy as collective praxis. *Public Understanding of Science*, 11(1), 33–56.
- Rowell, P. A. (1997). Learning in school science: The promises and practices of writing. *Studies in Science Education*, 30, 19–56.
- Russell, C. B. & Weaver, G. (2008). Student perceptions of the purpose and functions of the laboratory in science: A grounded theory study. *International Journal for the Scholarship of Teaching and Learning* 2(2). Retrieved from <http://digitalcommons.georgiasouthern.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1127&context=ij-sotl>.
- Russell, D. R. (1991). *Writing in the academic disciplines, 1870–1990*. Carbondale: Southern Illinois University Press.
- Science for all. (2013.) Editorial. *Nature*, 495, 5.
- Science Media Centre. (2015). Going public at the New Zealand Association of Scientists annual conference. Retrieved from <http://www.sciencemediacentre.co.nz/2015/04/16/going-public-at-the-new-zealand-association-of-scientists-annual-conference/>.
- Segal, J., Pare, A., Brent, D. & Vipond, D. (1998). The researcher as missionary: Problems with rhetoric and reform in the disciplines. *College Composition and Communication*, 50(1), 71–90.

- Shah, J., Shah, A. & Pietrobon, R. (2009). Scientific writing of novice researchers: What difficulties and encouragements do they encounter? *Academic Medicine*, 84, 511–516.
- Shanahan, C. (2004). Teaching science through literacy. In T. L. Jetton & J. A. Dole (Eds.), *Adolescent Literacy Research and Practice* (pp.75–93). New York, NY: The Guilford Press.
- Shaughnessy, M. (1979). *Errors and expectations: A guide for the teacher of basic writing*. New York, NY: Oxford University Press.
- Slinn, E.W. (1991). *The discourse of self in Victorian poetry*. University of Virginia Press.
- Smith, B. & Sparkes, A.C. (2006). Narrative inquiry in psychology: Exploring the tensions within. *Qualitative Research in Psychology*, 3, (3), 169–192.
- Smith, B. & Sparkes, A.C (2008). Narrative and its potential contribution to disability studies. *Disability & Society*, 23(1), 17–28.
- Smith, K. A., Sheppard, S. D., Johnson, D. W. & Johnson, R. T. (2005). Pedagogies of engagement: classroom based practices. *Journal of Engineering Education*, 94(1), 87–101.
- Soliday, M. (1994). Translating self and difference through literacy narratives. *College English*, 56(5), 511–526.
- Soliday, M. (2011). *Everyday genres: Writing assignments across the disciplines*. Carbondale: SIU Press.
- Stanford, J. S. & Duwel, L. E. (2013). Engaging biology undergraduates in the scientific process through writing a theoretical research proposal. *Bioscene: Journal of College Biology Teaching*, 39(2), 17–24.
- Starke-Meyerring, D. (2011). The paradox of writing in doctoral education: Student experiences. In L. McAlpine & C. Amundson (Eds.), *Doctoral education: Research-based strategies for doctoral students, supervisors and administrators* (pp. 75–95). New York, NY: Springer.
- Stephens, C. & Breheny, M. (2013). Narrative analysis in psychology research: An integrated approach to interpreting stories. *Qualitative Research in Psychology*, 10, (1), 14–27.
- Sweeting, K. (2011) *Early years teachers' attitudes towards mathematics*. Unpublished master's by research thesis, Queensland University of Technology. Retrieved from <http://eprints.qut.edu.au/46123/>.
- Switzer, V. (2009). Towards a theory of doctoral student professional identity development: A developmental networks approach. *The Journal of Higher Education*, 80(1), 1–33.
- Tapia, M. & Marsh, G. E. (2004). An instrument to measure mathematics attitudes. *Academic Exchange Quarterly*, 8, 16–21.
- Terkel, S. (Ed.). (1974). *Working: People talk about what they do all day and how they feel about what they do*. New York, NY: The New Press.
- Terkel, S. (1992). *Race: How blacks and whites think and feel about the American obsession*. New York, NY: The New Press.
- Terkel, S. (1997). *The good war: An oral history of World War II*. New York, NY: The New Press.

- Terkel, S. (2014). *Will the circle be unbroken?: Reflections on death, rebirth, and hunger for a faith*. New York, NY: The New Press
- Thaiss, C. (2012). Origins, aims, and uses of writing programs worldwide: profiles of academic writing in many places. In C. J. Thaiss, G. Bräuer, P. Carlino, L. Ganobcsik-Williams & A. Sinha (Eds.), *Writing programs worldwide: Profiles of academic writing in many places* (pp. 5–22). Fort Collins, CO: The WAC Clearinghouse and Parlor Press. Retrieved from <http://wac.colostate.edu/books/wpww/>.
- Tobin, K. & Tippens, D. J. (1996). Metaphors as seeds for conceptual change. *Science Teacher Education* 80(6), 711–30.
- VanSledright, B. & Alexander, P. A. (2002). Historical knowledge, thinking, and beliefs: Evaluation component of the Corps of Historical Educational Researcher 14 Discovery Project (#S215X010242). Washington, DC: United States Department of Education.
- Vargas, S. K. & Hanstedt, P. (2014). Exploring Alternatives in the Teaching of Lab Report Writing: Deepening Student Learning Through a Portfolio Approach, *Double Helix*, 2. Retrieved from <http://qudoublehelixjournal.org/index.php/dh/article/view/28/145>.
- Veronikas, S. & Shaughnessy, M. F. (2005). An interview with Richard Mayer. *Educational Psychology Review*, 17(2), 179–189.
- Verschaffel, L., Luwel, K., Torbeyns, J. & Van Dooren, W. (2011). Analyzing and developing strategy flexibility in mathematics education. In J. Elen, E. Stahl, R. Bromme & G. Clarebout (Eds.), *Links between beliefs and cognitive flexibility* (pp. 175–197). New York, NY: Springer.
- White, A. L., Way, J., Perry, B. & Southwell, B. (2005). Mathematical attitudes, beliefs and achievement in primary pre-service mathematics teacher education. *Mathematics Teacher Education and Development*, 7, 33–52.
- Yancey, K. B. & Huot, B. (Eds.) (1997). *Assessing writing across the curriculum*. Greenwich, CN: Ablex.
- Yore, L. D., Hand, B. M. & Prain, V. (2002). Scientists as writers. *Science Education*, 86, 672–692.
- Yore, L. D., Bisanz, G. L. & Hand, B.M. (2003). Examining the literacy component of science literacy: 25 years of language arts and science research. *International Journal of Science Education*, 25, 689–725.
- Yore, L. D., Hand, B. M. & Florence, M. K. (2004). Scientists' views of science, models of writing, and science writing practices. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 41, 338–369.
- Yore, L. D., Florence, M. K., Pearson, T. W. & Weaver, A. J. (2006). Written discourse in scientific communities: A conversation with two scientists about their views of science, use of language, role of writing in doing science, and compatibility between their epistemic views and language. *International Journal of Science Education*, 28 (2–3), 109–141.