

How Writing Shapes Thinking

NCTE Standing Committee on Research

Kenneth Kantor, *chair*, University of Georgia
Mary A. Barr, San Diego City Schools
Richard W. Beach, University of Minnesota
Lillian Bridwell, University of Minnesota
Dan Donlan, University of California, Riverside
Anne Haas Dyson, University of California, Berkeley
Joanne T. Eresh, Pittsburgh Public Schools
Sarah Freedman, University of California, Berkeley
Anne R. Gere, University of Washington
Dixie Goswami, Clemson University
Norman Harris, Purdue University
Judith Wells Lindfors, University of Texas at Austin
Dianne L. Monson, University of Minnesota
Ina V. S. Mullis, Educational Testing Service
Gay Su Pinnell, Ohio State University
Gordon M. Pradl, New York University
Donald Rubin, University of Georgia
Geneva Smitherman, Wayne State University
James R. Squire, Silver Burdett & Ginn
Arthur N. Applebee, *ex officio*, State University of New York at Albany
Judith A. Langer, *ex officio*, State University of New York at Albany
Julie M. Jensen, *ex officio*, University of Texas at Austin
M. Trika Smith-Burke, *ex officio*, National Conference on Research in English
Charles Suhor, *NCTE staff liaison*

How Writing Shapes Thinking

A Study of Teaching and Learning

Judith A. Langer
State University of New York at Albany

Arthur N. Applebee
State University of New York at Albany

National Council of Teachers of English
1111 Kenyon Road, Urbana, Illinois 61801

NCTE Editorial Board: Candy Carter, Dorothy Strickland, Donald R. Gallo, Delores Lipscomb, Thomas Newkirk; L. Jane Christensen, *ex officio*

Book Design: Tom Kovacs for TGK Design

NCTE Stock Number 21802

© 1987 by the National Council of Teachers of English. All rights reserved. Printed in the United States of America.

It is the policy of NCTE in its journals and other publications to provide a forum for the open discussion of ideas concerning the content and the teaching of English and the language arts. Publicity accorded to any particular point of view does not imply endorsement by the Executive Committee, the Board of Directors, or the membership at large, except in announcements of policy, where such endorsement is clearly specified.

Library of Congress Cataloging in Publication Data

Langer, Judith A.

How writing shapes thinking.

(NCTE research report; no. 22)

Bibliography: p.

1. English language—Composition and exercises—Study and teaching—Research. 2. English language—Rhetoric—Study and teaching—Research. I. Applebee, Arthur N. II. Title. III. Series.

PE1011.N295 no. 22 [PE1404] 428'.007 s 87-24798

ISBN 0-8141-2180-2 [808'.042'0712]

Contents

<i>List of Tables</i>	vii
<i>Acknowledgments</i>	ix
I Overview	1
1 Introduction	3
2 The Project	11
II Studies of Teaching	17
3 The Participating Teachers	19
4 Writing in Academic Classrooms	41
5 Teachers in Transition: Changing Conceptions of Teaching and Learning	69
III Studies of Learning	89
6 Learning from Writing: An Initial Approach	91
7 Learning from Writing: Study Two	103
8 Learning from Writing: Study Three	115
IV Conclusions	133
9 Learning from Writing in the Secondary School: Accomplishing Our Goals	135
<i>References</i>	153
<i>Appendix 1: The Analysis of Meaning Construction: Coding Manual</i>	159
<i>Appendix 2: The Reading Passages: Synopses, Characteristics, and Tree Diagrams</i>	162

List of Tables

1. Types of Data Related to Students' Assignments	27
2. Summary of Data: Case-Study Teachers	28
3. The Teachers' Central Concerns	39
4. Characteristics of Think-Aloud Protocols by Purpose of Writing	64
5. Writing Activities Used by the Seven Teachers	66
6. Teacher-as-Examiner in the Eight Classrooms	72
7. The Teachers' Constructs of Teaching and Learning	86
8. Communication Units in Think-Aloud Protocols	99
9. Reasoning Operations during Three Types of Writing Activities	100
10. Characteristics of Student Performance on Selected Study Tasks	108
11. Multiple-Choice Comprehension at Four Weeks	109
12. Passage-Specific Knowledge: Immediate and at Four Weeks	111
13. Essay Quality at Four Weeks	112
14. Relationships between Words Written during Study Task and Post-Test Performance, Adjusted for Task, Grade, Passage, and Pretest Passage Knowledge	113
15. Background Measures: Pretest Passage Knowledge and Characteristics of Performance during Study Tasks on Day One	120
16. Overall Recall of Passage Content on Days Two and Six	123
17. Recall by Level of Passage Structure on Days Two and Six	125
18. Recall by Manipulation of Passage Content on Days Two and Six	126
19. Ratings for Preserving Gist of Passage on Days Two and Six	128

20. Topic-Specific Knowledge Scores on Days Two and Six	129
21. Characteristics of the Reading Passages	163